OGC Has Reviewel 001/09/03 : CIA-RDP84-00709R0004000Z0103-8

Recutavo for Men

Constal Counsel

STATSPEC

STATSPEC

Gunnd Service,

1. In considering this case, we make one assumption witch is not closely shown in the resord. In the doors

STATINTL

ment which we shall call the transfer which is the for salary states that the 21 por month would be in socoldinco mith un udditional mairten ullocament. assume that this additional agreement was either a copy of what we shall call the Military Atchohers contract of 1 July 1948 or a substantially similar donorate. In oither case, we think the situation is essentially simple.

STATINTL

Illiany Attache, they all became monthly sulvyers, Losst within the concept of the the Chaffing constituted the Party of the Second Parts A copy of the contract indicates that all three signed and acrized their fingerprints. Therefore, although was named as Representative and Payoe, there was privilly of contract

STATSPEC

porary appointment by an 29 December altered the case tial relationship particularly if, as so assume, show that an exponent by reference that an exponent by reference that an termination they will be entitled to indeed to the table of the chief budget and indeed to the table of the date of separation. indeputer from 1 buly 1946 to the date of separation. that on termination onch guard should be required to sign a release upon purment.

STATINTL

4. It is our further opinion that each guard was per year in accordance with per your in accordance with and that the transport increased in accordance with local customs Since there is no requires nosit in Sim Law that this leave be carried over and accurate In successive years, again local custom should be followed.

Approved For Release 2001/09/03: CIA-RDP84-00709R000400070103-8

STATSPEC

5. Since we feel that the change the susential situation, we do not believe that it will be necessary to give thirty days' notice of its canvelletion, as suggested by the Chief, Budget sid Pinance Branch. As the transfer from the MA to may technically be considered a cancellation of the MA's ocentract, we feel that the simplest solution is to recovered an agreement identical to the MA's contracts obtaining the signatures of all three guards and inform the guards that this new contract supersoded the appointment of 29 December and washing of accoming a continuation of their amployment under the original terms agreed upon between the Covernment and the quards as set forth in the MA's contract. As stated by the Chief, Budget and Finance, would be paid on a Standard Form 1034. Since there is continuous service involved, we agree with the Chief, Budget and Pinance Branch that there would be no payment for leave or indemnity to be made at the time of execution of the new agreements

6. In view of the above, we suggest the following message in place of that proposed by the Chief, Budget and Firshes:

"Re 20 July letter on guard contracts acoute new agreement identical to agreement of July 1946 on superseding the appointment of the December 1946. After execution, wake payments on 1036. Letter follows."

LAMBIANTE R. HOUSTON

Diclosures

LMinebt

STATSPEC